

Commentary

The Rule of Law **Dashboard**: How Transparent Visualisation Can Improve Policy and Drive Progress



David Osimo

The controversy surrounding the rule of law – and its dangerous slippage in key European Union member states – is on the minds of every EU policymakers. Should countries that violate core standards of democracy – such as the independence of the judiciary or the freedom of the press – be the recipients of large amounts of EU aid – much of it coming from funds borrowed today that will have to be repaid by generations tomorrow? Or should the €672 billion Next Generation European Union budget be used not just to help countries recover from a devastating pandemic but also to force them to re-commit to the very values that brought European countries together in the first place?

As always, a good place to begin is with a review of the evidence, and that's exactly what the European Commission has done. [The 2020 Rule of Law Report](#), brought out by the [European Commission](#) in November 2020, reviews the commitment to rule of law in

all 27 EU member states, casting the net far wider than it is usually case and setting the report up to play a key role as a transparency, compliance and enforcement tool.

The media sometimes casts the debate around a binary definition of the rule of law: is a country compliant or not? But the reality is far more diverse and interesting. The report shows clearly that every EU member state can improve compliance with the rule of law. Even more importantly, the report sets out an implicit vision of democracy in three areas where compliance is most important and most effective: an independent and well-functioning justice system; strong anticorruption measures effective at limiting corruption and media freedom and independence.

Topic	Subtopic	AT	BE	BG	CY	CZ	DE	DK	EE	EL	ES	FI	FR	HR	HU	IE	IT	LT	LU	LV	MT	NL	PL	PT	RO	SE	SI	SK
Justice	Independence	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Quality	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Efficiency	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Anti-corruption	Institutional Framework	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Prevention	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Repressive measures	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Media Freedom	Regulatory authorities	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Transparency of Media	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Journalists' Protection	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Other Institutional Issues	Preparing and enacting laws	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Civil Society	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Accessibility	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
	Independent Authorities	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●

Yet both this vision and the details are hard to appreciate in the 500 pages of the report and 27 country annexes. This is why the Lisbon Council built the [Rule of Law Dashboard](#), an interactive visualisation tool to bring transparency, insight and ease of comparative assessment to all European governments. With the Rule of Law Dashboard, you can:

1. easily compare EU member-state performance in areas related to democracy and rule of law;
2. quickly access the specific paragraphs of the original country reports upon which these judgments are made;
3. comment on every data point and assessment by providing additional evidence and feedback on a point-by-point basis.

The Rule of Law Dashboard includes detailed country profiles for all 27 member states based on the European Commission's assessment. The dashboard lets you move from the full picture to the details of the single paragraph in a couple of clicks.

The purpose is not to create rankings but to make hundreds of pages of country reports readable and to provide a simple overview of the findings. In this single word – simple – lies the main challenge. How do you make complex information accessible without oversimplifying the message? The original source is not easy to summarise:

- The data in the original European Commission study is loosely structured alongside a set of topics, but there is no systematic assessment or way of comparing the results.
- The information in some sections is inconsistent from country to country.
- Most of the insight is qualitative – given through carefully worded sentences. Only occasionally are indicators used, such as the corruption perception index or the justice scoreboard. There is no way to construct a composite indicator or index.
- But luckily, in the style of the European Semester, where European countries have agreed to monitor each other's public-spending commitments in collaboration with the European Commission, each paragraph is a unitary self-consistent policy message with the assessment summarized in the first sentence of every paragraph.

In this context, the Lisbon Council chose to build on this already very promising start with a visual, traffic light dashboard. Because information is qualitative and inhomogeneous, it is not possible to obtain linear indicators such as percentages that can easily translate in different shades of intensity. Traffic light dots instead provide sufficient flexibility to summarize qualitative information.

Concretely, the team analysed each paragraph of the country reports consistent with the European Semester methodology. Every first sentence has been associated with a colour, based on its content, and with a “subtopic”, as described in the methodology. When there are several paragraph for a subtopic, the colour code is calculated by a simple arithmetic average between the values (one being red, three being green). Each dot in the dashboard summarizes typically several paragraphs. More details are available in the dashboard [methodology](#) and a more theoretical analysis of the approach is published in chapter 8 of [Governance Indicators: Approaches, Progress and Promise](#), a book published recently Oxford University Press.

As with any visualisation, there are obvious limitations that we had to manage.

- The colour code is not based on clear quantitative thresholds, but on the expert interpretation of the sentence. This arbitrariness has been limited by providing a double internal review, and internal guidelines on how to interpret the sentences. For instance, an announced policy measure would be yellow while an approved measure would be green.
- The values are not exactly comparable across countries, because the reports assess sometimes different things in different countries. One containing measure is to downplay the comparison between countries. This is a tool to facilitate understanding and discussion, not to judge.
- The original reports might be incorrect in their statements. The team decided to simply reflect what was written, without disputing the facts. It is not within the scope of this project to validate the information.

But the most important measure to address the shortcomings is **full transparency**. This is a tool to empower readers to make their own informed judgments. To this end:

- It is clearly stated that that colour code is our own assessment.
- Readers can make their own mind by seamlessly accessing the original information at the source.
- Readers can directly and publicly comment on each assessment.
- The entire dataset is published as [Open Data on GitHub](#).

The result, apparently, is effective, judging by the many complimentary tweets and emails, the coverage in the news, and the level of attention dedicated: the dashboard had 5.000 unique users in its first two days.

We do not know whether the rule of law will eventually become a condition to access the Next Generation European Union fund. But we do know that it will be the condition for the health of our democracies and for the future of Europe. And we will all have to do our part in cultivating and protecting it.

David Osimo is director of research at the Lisbon Council.

the **Forum**

The Forum is a semi-weekly newsletter of cutting-edge blogs devoted to policy proposals and up-to-date political analysis. It is hosted by the Lisbon Council, a global think tank based in Brussels. For more commentary visit: <https://lisboncouncil.net/category/blog/>